

## NOTES OF MEETING

<b>Title of Meeting:</b>	Newmarket Vision Transport Delivery Group
<b>Purpose or Mandate:</b>	A meeting to discuss transport issues in Newmarket and the priorities identified by the Newmarket Vision process.
<b>Date:</b>	20/01/2015
<b>Place:</b>	Ernest Cassel room, Newmarket Town Council, Newmarket
<b>Times:</b>	10:00 - 12:00
<b>Attendees:</b>	<p>Councillor Lisa Chambers (LC), Cabinet Member Suffolk County council</p> <p>Councillor Graham Newman (GN) Cabinet Member Roads &amp; Transport SCC</p> <p>Councillor Warwick Hirst (WH) FHDC, NTC</p> <p>Councillor David Hudson (DH), Suffolk County Council</p> <p>Councillor Peter Hulbert, (PH), NTC</p> <p>Melvyn Leaman (ML), Local Policing Commander</p> <p>Magnus Magnusson (MM) - FHDC officer</p> <p>Suzanne Buck (SB), Suffolk County Council</p> <p>Guy Smith (GS), Suffolk County Council</p> <p>Gemma Charrington, (GC), Newmarket Racecourse</p> <p>Nick Patton (NP) – Training Grounds Manager, Jockey Club Estates</p> <p>Darren Dixon (DD) – West Suffolk Parking Officer</p> <p>Michael Robinson (MR) – Newmarket &amp; District Chamber of Commerce</p>
<b>Invited Guests</b>	<p>Paul Horne (PHO), Suffolk County Council</p> <p>Luke Mitchell (LM), Suffolk County Council</p>
<b>Apologies</b>	<p>Councillor Chris Barker, (CB), Forest Heath District Council</p> <p>Councillor Rona Burt (RB), FHDC</p>

**Cllr Lisa Chambers is the Chair of the Newmarket Vision Transport Delivery Group**

Ref	Notes	Action
1.	<p><b>Apologies</b></p> <p>Received as detailed above.</p>	
2.	<p><b>Review of actions and notes from previous meeting.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Update re Rail group to be forwarded, to include a copy to the Newmarket town council clerk as rail meeting hasn't taken place yet</li> </ul> <p>Notes of meeting 15/10/2014 agreed</p>	KA

3.	<p><b>Parking Survey Update</b></p> <p>LM presented the findings of the on-street parking survey for the High St as part of the parking strategy being conducted by SCC and FHDC. The results of the survey were to be used once the off-street and residents parking reviews have been completed in to order to implement a successful parking strategy.</p> <p>Findings of the survey found a lack of awareness of the proposed art installations on the High St. SB to forward findings on to NED group.</p> <p>PH drew the group's attention to dial-a-ride buses which need more room in parking spaces due to the use of ramps.</p>	SB
4.	<p><b>Off-Street Parking Review Update</b></p> <p>DD presented the present findings of the off-street parking review. It was reported that samples had been taken for occupancy in the towns car parks since November.</p> <p>Next phase is analysis of High St results, residents parking and the potential impacts of the Home of Horse Racing on the current provision.</p> <p>Further sampling is needed in order to provide reliable results these were to take place over March and April 2015. These results could then be modelled against a number of scenarios in order to ascertain the need for more spaces, change of parking regulations or the number of hours stay.</p> <p>Report to be produced by FHDC depending on the results of the residents parking survey, this is estimated to be completed May/June.</p> <p>It was also noted that the Retailers Association supported the notion of pay and display in the High St. Conflicting the views found on the on-street parking survey.</p> <p>LC suggested the possible implementation of shuttle buses for the museum; however DD reported that All Saints car park may be able to accommodate the increased volume of visitors.</p> <p>ML enquired about the long term vision for parking enforcement for the town and the possible implementation of CPE, with police budget reductions meaning a reduction in parking enforcement.</p> <p>GN informed the group that West Suffolk was not interested in implementation. There is no budgetary provision for CPE and it can only be executed where districts were keen.</p> <p>ML highlighted the accessibility issues in the Guineas car park with parking and that the spaces given to the Premier Inn were often empty at peak times.</p>	DD DD

5.	<p><b>Residents Parking Review</b></p> <p>GS to report findings to the group of November's residents parking consultation at the next NVTG meeting. These findings would be put into report before looking at the options for residents parking.</p> <p>The next stage of the consultation process was not going to start until after the elections. This process will engage with the public more and will consult on specific schemes.</p> <p>DH was concerned that the November consultation was not widely advertised however GS reported that attendance was good.</p> <p>WH stated the district and town council had no issue providing there was a good resident attendance.</p>	GS
6.	<p><b>Cycle Review</b></p> <p>PHO provided a presentation of the work currently being completed by SCC in terms of a new cycle map for the area and a list of possible improvements when funding becomes available.</p> <p>PHO asked for ideas and suggestions from the group regarding the draft cycle map to be emailed to him after the meeting.</p> <p>Idea to number the horse walks on cycle map and to install discrete signs in order to provide locational information.</p> <p>It was confirmed that cleansing of horsewalks is a district function and will be helped by recent scheme to put removable bollards in. The horse walks are highway and therefore maintenance is a county function.</p> <p>DH reported some of the current cycle path lining was worn and needed refreshing, DH to report back with details</p> <p>LC stressed the provision of clear and accurate information on the cycle map as to when the horse walks can be used by cyclists.</p>	ALL       DH
7.	<p><b>Signing – Tourism and Directional</b></p> <p>WH reported that the tourism group was presenting on 23/01 and would forward on the results to the group.</p> <p>GS said that there should be a link to car parking signage as well in the proposals; DD reported this would have an impact on parking especially with museum. GS suggested may be splitting the directional signing depending on approach route into Newmarket to help manage the impact of cars parking.</p> <p>Group agreed that it should take lead on application to the Highways Agency for strategic signs and routes in.</p> <p>MC suggested the rationalisation of signs in order to de-clutter the road side; GS noted that the current government process is timely and costly.</p> <p>PH had concerns on the courtesy crossing at the clock tower and its safety. GS reported work was to commence to review access</p>	WH       SB

	<p>around the clock tower and that this will include the crossing.</p> <p>SB reported that scheme was to start in the new financial year</p>	SB
8	<p><b>Maintenance Program Update</b></p> <p>Requests for an email for planned maintenance sites for 15/16</p> <p>GC reported that on Hamilton Rd scheme there was no notice until the Thursday, works began on the Monday. Email sent out was unclear and disrupted the movements of delegates to conference which had been arranged. GS reported that due to the backlog, schemes are being fast tracked. The times of notice will improve once the backlog has been cleared.</p>	GS
9	<p><b>Section 106 – Update</b></p> <p>Update on the works currently underway at Fordham/Snailwell Rd. NP viewed concerns of the width of the road especially with cars parked either side. SB to review any parking issues once works complete.</p>	
10	<p><b>Pedestrian Crossings</b></p> <p><b>New Chevely Rd:</b></p> <p>DH concerned about visibility of a person crossing, requested that the white lines should be extended.</p> <p>GS stated that this crossing would have to be a controlled crossing and would need to remove parking for approximately 32 cars in order to meet requirements.</p> <p>SB suggested starting to compile new ideas for strategic delivery.</p> <p>DH to organise a consultation on the proposed new crossing.</p> <p><b>George Lambton Ave:</b></p> <p>Concerns over the visibility at build out areas</p> <p>GS will review traffic calming measures in the area.</p> <p>ML reported that speeding had been raised as an issue in the area but after measurement, it was not deemed as a concern. Hyperion Way was deemed as having a slight speeding issue.</p> <p>ML to provide details of policy on traffic hotspots to next meeting</p>	<p>DH</p> <p>GS</p> <p>ML</p>
	<p><b>AOB</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• New ideas for next meeting by the end of February</li> <li>• Review of LTP priority list</li> </ul>	ALL

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PH asked for an update on bus and train transport. Rail group to feedback to PH</li> <li>• WH to provide an update on bus provision</li> <li>• Press article regarding Fordham/Snailwell Rd scheme discussed</li> </ul>	<p>SB</p> <p>WH</p>
11.	<p><b>Next Meetings</b></p> <p>Meetings to be held in the Ernst Cassel room at NTC unless otherwise noted</p> <p>Thursday 5<sup>th</sup> March 10:00 to 12:00</p> <p><b>Wednesday 20<sup>th</sup> May 10:00 to 12:00 THIS HAS CHANGED</b></p> <p>Thursday 4th June 14:00 to 16:00</p> <p>Tuesday 21<sup>st</sup> July 10:00 to 12:00</p> <p>Wednesday 2nd September 10:00 to 12:00</p> <p>Wednesday 14<sup>th</sup> October 10:00 to 12:00</p> <p>Wednesday 25<sup>th</sup> November 10:00 to 12:00</p> <p>Please make a note of these dates in your diary.</p>	