## SALP Main Modifications proposed by the Council V2 13.11.17

The main modifications below are expressed either in the form of strikethrough for deletions and **bold** for additions of text, or by specifying the modification in words.

| Ref. | Policy /<br>Paragraph<br>Number | Proposed Modification  | Reason for Change   |
|------|---------------------------------|--|---|
| MM1  | Policy SA4                      | Add the word <b>land</b> under Indicative Capacity:<br>Mixed use to include 1300 dwellings with a local<br>centre, a minimum of 5ha employment <b>land</b> ,<br>schools, leisure facilities and public services.   | Typo: word omitted.   |
| MM2  | Policy SA4                      | Add requirement H):<br>H) that suitable access is safeguarded for the<br>maintenance of water supply and foul drainage<br>infrastructure.'   | See Statement of Common Ground<br>between Anglian Water and Forest Heath<br>District Council (2.10.17).   |
| ММЗ  | Policy SA4                      | Add sentence to * footnote:<br>For the avoidance of doubt the 5ha of<br>employment land is in addition to the Public<br>Services Hub.  | For clarification.  |
| MM4  | Policy SA5                      | Add * to table after indicative capacity of 23 units to<br>site SA5 (a).<br>Add sentence after the policy;<br>*Part of site SA5 (a) has planning permission<br>for 6 dwellings, these dwelling numbers count<br>as an existing commitment rather than<br>contributing to the additional provision<br>required. | A note is added to clarify that part of the site allocated in the SALP has planning permission, updated to the position at 31 <sup>st</sup> March 2017. |

| Ref. | Policy /<br>Paragraph<br>Number | Proposed Modification   | Reason for Change   |
|------|---------------------------------|---|---|
| MM5  | Policy SA5                      | Amend final line to read:   | See Statement of Common Ground between Suffolk County Council and   |
|      |                                 | Site (a) (b) requires pre determination desk based archaeological evaluation.   | Forest Heath District Council.  |
| MM6  | Policy SA6                      | Add * to table in indicative capacity column for site<br>(b) after 'TBC'  | A note is added to clarify that part of the site allocated in the SALP has planning permission, updated to the position at 31 <sup>st</sup> March 2017. |
|      |                                 | Add footnote to policy;   |   |
|      |                                 | *Part of site SA6 (b) has planning permission<br>for 7 flats, these dwelling numbers count as an<br>existing commitment rather than contributing<br>to the additional provision required. |   |
| MM7  | Policy SA6                      | For Site (b) delete the word 'Design' from the  | For consistency with criteria (b) and to  |
|      | Toney SAU                       | Indicative capacity column of table and replace with<br>'Development' to read:  | correct a typo in Submission SALP.  |
|      |                                 | Mixed use TBC (Development Brief required)  |   |
| MM8  | Policy SA6                      | Insert new requirement B) after A):   | Main Modification wording following D.<br>Elvin Q.C's note D62 on Newmarket SA6   |
|      |                                 | Permission will only be granted for development<br>proposals where applicants can demonstrate that<br>the transport impact of each proposal (including                                    | sites in relation to horse crossings.   |
|      |                                 | cumulative impacts where appropriate) on horse movements in the town, together with impacts on  |   |
|      |                                 | other users of the highway, has been assessed to:   |   |
|      |                                 | (i) determine whether the proposal results in   |   |
|      |                                 | material adverse impacts; and<br>(ii) where necessary, to identify any measures   |   |
|      |                                 | necessary to mitigate the individual (and, where  |   |

| Ref. | Policy /<br>Paragraph<br>Number | Proposed Modification  | Reason for Change   |
|------|---------------------------------|--|---|
|      |                                 | appropriate, cumulative) transport impacts of<br>development (which may include contributions to<br>upgrading horse crossings and measures to raise<br>awareness of the special circumstances and highway<br>safety issues in Newmarket where appropriate).  |   |
| MM9  | Policy SA6                      | <ul> <li>Delete existing paragraph (b) and replace with the following:</li> <li>Site (b) will be the subject of a development brief that will be prepared and approved by the council prior to any planning permission being granted. The amount and type of development will be set out in the development brief. The site allocation will not adversely impact on the listed buildings or their setting.</li> <li>Any development on Fitzroy Paddocks will be limited to what is necessary to secure the restoration and appropriate reuse of the listed buildings.</li> <li>Any scheme for development of the site must facilitate the restoration and appropriate reuse of the listed buildings and preserve or enhance the character and appearance of the conservation area. It should reflect the highly significant contribution Fitzroy Paddocks makes as a significant feature in the conservation area owing to its openness, historic character and importance to the horse racing industry, and these attributes should be</li> </ul> | See Statement of Common Ground<br>between Historic England and Forest<br>Heath District Council.<br>Updated following discussions during the<br>SALP Examination on 18/10/17 to<br>remove "highly". |

| Ref. | Policy /<br>Paragraph<br>Number | Proposed Modification  | Reason for Change  |
|------|---------------------------------|--|--|
|      |                                 | racing related use should be retained on the<br>site. Any application for planning permission<br>should be in accordance with the approved<br>development brief.   |  |
| MM10 | Policy SA6                      | Delete reference to archaeology under Site (c):<br>The brief should include any mitigation measures<br>required to make the development acceptable in<br>social, economic and environmental terms.<br>Archaeological evaluation of this site will be required<br>at an early stage.                              | See Statement of Common Ground<br>between Suffolk County Council and<br>Forest Heath District Council.   |
| MM11 | Policy SA8                      | In addition:<br>Provision will be made for a new primary school on<br>Site (b)<br>Access for site (d) will be provided through<br>site (b)   | Updated following discussions during the SALP Examination on 19/10/17 to avoid repetition.   |
| MM12 | Policy SA9                      | Add * to table after indicative capacity of 382 units<br>to site SA9 (c)<br>Add footnote after the policy;<br>*Part of site SA9 (c) has planning permission<br>for 374 dwellings, these dwelling numbers<br>count as an existing commitment rather than<br>contributing to the additional provision<br>required. | A note is added to clarify that part of the site allocated in the SALP has planning permission, updated to the position at 31 <sup>st</sup> March 2017.        |
| MM13 | Policy SA9                      | Development on all sites must provide measures for<br>influencing recreation in the surrounding area <sub>7</sub> to<br>avoid a damaging increase in visitors to <b>Red Lodge</b><br><b>Heath SSSI and</b> Breckland SPA. Measures should  | To meet Natural England's concerns in<br>representation 24930. See statement of<br>common ground between Forest Heath<br>District Council and Natural England. |

| Ref. | Policy /<br>Paragraph<br>Number | Proposed Modification  | Reason for Change  |
|------|---------------------------------|--|--|
|      |                                 | <ul> <li>include the enhancement and promotion of a dog friendly access routes in the immediate vicinity of the development(s), and/or other agreed measures.</li> <li>Measures to avoid an increase in recreational activity in adjacent farmland, such as barriers to access, should also be considered for sites SA9 (b) and (c).</li> </ul>  | Updated to reintroduce Red Lodge Heath<br>SSI following discussions during the SALP<br>Examination on 19/10/17.  |
| MM14 | Policy SA9                      | <ul> <li>Amend point (C) to read:</li> <li>C) Necessary archaeological evaluation should be carried out prior to decisions on site layout and determination, to allow preservation in situ where appropriate and to allow archaeological strategies to be defined;</li> </ul>  | See Statement of Common Ground<br>between Suffolk County Council and<br>Forest Heath District Council.   |
| MM15 | Policy SA9                      | Include additional sentence at end of policy:<br>Any future amendments, reserved matters or<br>new planning application to site (c) would<br>require a project level Habitats Regulation<br>Assessment.  | To meet Natural England's concerns in<br>representation 24930. See statement of<br>common ground between Forest Heath<br>District Council and Natural England. |
| MM16 | Policy SA10                     | Delete existing (b) and replace with the following:The masterplan and any future planning<br>applications will require a project level<br>Habitats Regulations Assessment. The<br>development must also provide measures for<br>influencing recreation in the surrounding area,<br>to avoid a damaging increase in visitors to<br>Breckland SPA and an increase in recreational<br>activity in adjacent farmland. Measures should<br>include the provision of suitable alternative | To meet Natural England's concerns in<br>representation 24930. See statement of<br>common ground between Forest Heath<br>District Council and Natural England. |

| Ref. | Policy /<br>Paragraph<br>Number | Proposed Modification  | Reason for Change   |
|------|---------------------------------|--|---|
|      |                                 | natural greenspace which is well connected<br>and the enhancement, and promotion of dog<br>friendly access routes in the immediate vicinity<br>of the development, barriers to access and/or<br>other agreed measures.   |   |
| MM17 | Policy SA10                     | <ul> <li>Amend point (F) to read:</li> <li>F) Archaeological evaluation should be carried out prior to decisions on site layout and determination to allow preservation in situ where appropriate and to allow appropriate archaeological strategies to be defined;</li> </ul>   | See Statement of Common Ground<br>between Suffolk County Council and<br>Forest Heath District Council.  |
| MM18 | Policy SA11                     | Proposals for sites (a) and ( <del>c</del> - <b>b</b> ) must ensure that   | To correct a typographical error.   |
| MM19 | Policy SA12                     | C) There is an identified need for a dedicated cross<br>county boundary cycle route between Burwell and<br>the site. The site shall provide land Land shall be<br>provided within the site for a cycle path and an<br>appropriate off-site contribution funding shall<br>be provided for the delivery of the cycle path; | To clarify the extent of land required<br>from the site, to ensure the wording and<br>funding requirements complies with CIL<br>Regulations.                      |
| MM20 | Policy SA12                     | Insert new point 'E' at end of policy:<br>E) In advance of determination, initial<br>archaeological field evaluation must be carried<br>out in order to identify the significance of any<br>archaeological assets.   | See Statement of Common Ground<br>between Suffolk County Council and<br>Forest Heath District Council.  |
| MM21 | Policy SA13                     | Add * to table after indicative capacity of 34 units to<br>site SA13 (a) and 63 to site SA13 (b)<br>Add footnote after the policy;   | A note is added to clarify the sites<br>allocated in the SALP policy SA13 have<br>planning permission, updated to the<br>position at 31 <sup>st</sup> March 2017. |

| Ref. | Policy /<br>Paragraph<br>Number | Proposed Modification   | Reason for Change  |
|------|---------------------------------|---|--|
|      |                                 | *These sites are included as allocations to<br>confirm their land use, however the dwelling<br>numbers count as an existing commitment<br>rather than contributing to the additional<br>provision required.   |  |
| MM22 | Policy SA14                     | Insert new criteria (a) and renumber other criteria accordingly:  | To ensure a consistent approach to the application of policy DM4 Development Briefs throughout the SALP. |
|      |                                 | The site will be the subject of a development<br>brief for the whole site that will be prepared in<br>consultation with the landowner(s) and the<br>public and approved by the council prior to any<br>planning permission being granted. Any<br>application for planning permission should be<br>in accordance with the approved development<br>brief. |  |
| MM23 | Policy SA14                     | Add the following text after the last sentence:<br>1ha of land to the south of The Green as identified<br>on the Policies Map is required for expansion of the<br>existing primary school. <b>Proportionate</b><br><b>archaeological evaluation will be required to</b><br><b>allow archaeological strategies to be designed.</b>                       | See Statement of Common Ground<br>between Suffolk County Council and<br>Forest Heath District Council.   |
| MM24 | Policy SA16                     | Use Classes B1, B2, & B8 are as defined in the Town<br>and Country Plzanning (Use Classes) Order 1987 (as<br>amended).  | To correct a typographical error.  |
| MM25 | Policy SA17                     | In the table:<br>SA17(b) St Leger, Newmarket, <b>B2 and</b> B8  | To be consistent with planning permission reference DC/16/0465/FUL.                                      |

| Ref. | Policy /<br>Paragraph<br>Number | Proposed Modification   | Reason for Change  |
|------|---------------------------------|---|--|
|      |                                 | SA10(a) Land north of Acorn Way, Red Lodge<br>unspecified <b>B1, B2 and B8</b>  | To be consistent with the mixed use allocation at Mildenhall SA4(a) and provide clarity. |
| MM26 | Policy SA17                     | Add at end of policy:   | To draw attention to this constraint on the site.  |
|      |                                 | Development of site SA10(a) will need to have<br>regard to the advice of the Health and Safety<br>Executive which may limit the type and/or<br>location of employment use that would be<br>acceptable near the major hazard pipeline. |  |