

2. Issue of pooling in relation to delivery regarding traffic and horse walks

- 2.1 With regard to CIL R123 (pooling) each project requiring s106 contributions will be restricted to five separate contributions. A project can be defined specifically, so each horse walk location can receive up to five contributions, thus if required, the 17 horse walks can all receive up to 5 contributions (17x5 = 85). There are however, only 4 sites left in Newmarket without planning permission, that if necessary, would pay a contribution, so even if they all impacted the same crossings and if the future proofing somehow didn't take account of their impact, pooling is not an issue.
- 2.2 It should be noted that pooling is only an issue, if a development has a negative impact on the traffic generated at any given horse crossing. The assessment of this impact will naturally have to take into account any existing capacity or existing problem at that crossing. We should not then assume at the outset, that all development in Newmarket will have to pay a contribution. The current work being undertaken by the County Council and the HRI will future proof against the growth of the industry and the population growth of town, having regard to our planned growth.
- 2.3 Contributions must be CIL R122 compliant, so impact caused by the HRI, existing problems and capacity created by the current future proofing, will all have to be taken into account.