

**4. Inconsistency of approach to specifying employment use classes – sites SA4(a) and SA10(a).**

4.1 The council acknowledge the inconsistent approach to specifying the B use classes acceptable on the two mixed use allocations at Mildenhall (Policy SA4) and Red Lodge (Policy SA10).

4.2 A Main modification is being suggested to correct this:

MM25 proposes deletion of “unspecified” from the Use Class column in the table in Policy SA17, and replacement with “B1, B2 and B8”

4.3 In addition, and to assist clarity on this issue a further Main Modification is suggested in Policy SA17:

MM26 proposes adding a paragraph at the end of the policy:

“Development of site SA10(a) will need to have regard to the advice of the Health and Safety Executive which may limit the type and/or location of employment use that would be acceptable near the major hazard pipeline.”

Further issue on Policy SA4

4.4 During preparation of the council’s response on point 4 the wording of Policy SA4(a) was examined, and the following Main Modifications are requested to correct the omission of one word, and to aid clarity:

MM1 insert the word “land” after “employment” in the text in the Indicative capacity column; and

MM3 add sentence to \* footnote:

“For the avoidance of doubt the 5ha of employment land is in addition to the Public Services Hub.”