

SALP Additional Modifications proposed by the Council - April 2018

The additional modifications below are described *in italics* and expressed either in the form of ~~striketrough~~ for deletions and **bold** for additions of text, or by specifying the modification in words.

Ref.	Policy / Paragraph Number	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change
AM1	Contents Page 3	<p><i>Make the following changes to the table of contents:</i></p> <p>About this consultation</p> <p>———What is this consultation about?</p> <p>———How to make comments</p> <p>Amend second bullet point of section 4:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Responses to the Site Allocations Local Plan consultations Preferred Options consultation 2016 <p>Delete the last bullet point of section 4:</p> <p>Hatchfield Farm site, Newmarket</p>	To reflect proposed modifications.
AM2	Pages 6/7	<i>Delete pages 6/7 'What is this consultation about?'</i>	To remove references to consultation.
AM3	Para 1.1	<p><i>Amend paragraph 1.1 to read:</i></p> <p>...a suite of planning documents that will (once adopted) replaces the council's Local Plan (1995) saved policies,...</p>	To ensure document reads as an adopted plan.
AM4	Para 1.4	<i>Amend paragraph 1.4 to read:</i>	To ensure document reads as an adopted plan.

Ref.	Policy / Paragraph Number	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change
		The SIR of Policy CS7 and this SALP will complete the council's suite of Local Plan documents that will form the Development Plan for the area,...	
AM5	Para 1.5	<i>Update the diagram to remove the words 'under preparation' beneath 'Single Issue Review' and 'Site Allocations Local Plan'.</i>	To ensure document reads as an adopted plan.
AM6	Para 1.6	<p><i>Delete paragraph 1.6 and renumber following paragraphs accordingly:</i></p> <p>What you told us and what we did</p> <p>1.6 — This SALP has been subject to an Issues and Options consultation (Regulation 18) between August to October 2015, and a Preferred Options consultation (Regulation 18) between April and July 2016. The comments received to earlier consultations on the SALP have helped inform and develop the policies that allocate land for development in this proposed submission version of the plan. A report, setting out the comments received to the 2016 preferred options SALP consultation and detailing how they have helped inform this proposed submission version of the document, is available to view on the council's website www.westsuffolk.gov.uk/fhlocalplan and at its offices</p>	To ensure document reads as an adopted plan.

Ref.	Policy / Paragraph Number	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change
AM7	Para 1.7	<p><i>Amend paragraph 1.7 as follows:</i></p> <p>The plan-making process</p> <p>1.7 Preparing the plan has been is a step-by-step process that includeds collecting evidence (which may involved commissioning studies to provide detailed research;...</p>	To ensure document reads as an adopted plan.
AM8	Para 1.10	<p><i>Amend paragraph 1.10 as follows:</i></p> <p>1.10 An draft Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) accompanies this Site Allocations Local Plan document, setting out the infrastructure issues and requirements arising from the planned level and distribution of growth, including details for individual settlements where these have been identified by infrastructure or service providers, or through studies the council has commissioned. Comments on the draft IDP can be made on the council's public consultation website at http://westsuffolk.jdi-consult.net/localplan. Once the plan has been through examination and has been adopted, a final IDP will be produced setting out infrastructure requirements settlement by settlement.</p>	To reflect that final IDP will be published alongside adopted SALP.
AM9	Para 1.11	<p><i>Amend paragraph 1.11 as follows:</i></p> <p>1.11The SA report has been published for consultation alongside the proposed submission plan and essentially 'identifies, describes and evaluates' the likely significant economic, social and environmental effects of implementing 'the plan, and reasonable alternatives'.</p>	To ensure document reads as an adopted plan.

Ref.	Policy / Paragraph Number	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change
AM10	Para 1.12	<p><i>Amend paragraph 1.12 as follows:</i></p> <p>... Both the SA and the HRA can be seen, and commented upon, on the council's website above, at www.westsuffolk.gov.uk/fhlocalplan</p>	To ensure document reads as an adopted plan.
AM11	Para 1.13	<p><i>Amend paragraph 1.13 as follows:</i></p> <p>1.13 A Policies Map accompanies the SALP which shows all the areas in the district where different policies will apply. It is updated as each new Local Plan document is adopted. This Policies Map shows all the housing and employment site allocations as well as other policies already adopted in the 2010 Core Strategy and the 2015 Joint Development Management Policies document. You can comment on the revised Policies Map at http://westsuffolk.jdi-consult.net/localplan</p>	To ensure document reads as an adopted plan.
AM12	Para 1.14	<p><i>Amend paragraph 1.14 as follows:</i></p> <p>1.14 The Forest Heath Local Plan Submission draft Policies Map 2017 can be viewed in greater detail on the council's interactive mapping system at http://maps.westsuffolk.gov.uk/. To use the system, select the 'My Maps' tab then enter a location/post code for the area of interest and select 'Local Plan – Forest Heath – Proposed Submission 2017' under the Map Categories. From here you can select and deselect the categories relating to the policies/allocations and constraints in this document.</p>	To ensure document reads as an adopted plan.

Ref.	Policy / Paragraph Number	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change
AM13	Para 2.5	<i>Amend to read:</i> Given the revised forecast in the SHMA SHMA , it is	Amendment for consistency.
AM14	Para. 2.6	<i>Amend to read:</i> The Core Strategy identifies a settlement hierarchy the types of settlements in Forest Heath and their distinctive characteristics in Policy CS1. This policy sets out the settlement hierarchy with the most sustainable settlements at the top, followed by smaller settlements with fewer services and facilities. The Spatial Strategy sets out how the council intend to achieve the visions, objectives and aims for the different settlements over the plan period. The policy identifies the most sustainable settlements are as the market towns of Brandon, Mildenhall and Newmarket, followed by the key service centres of Lakenheath and Red Lodge, and then the primary villages of Beck Row, Exning, Kentford and West Row. Further residential development is acceptable in principle in these settlements as they provide a range of existing services, facilities, shops and employment opportunities and, in the case of market towns, serve as public transport hubs. Secondary and smaller villages are not considered to be sustainable locations when planning for growth.	Supporting text to clarify the context for and purpose of Core Strategy Policy CS1.
AM15	Para 3.9	<i>Amend to read:</i> Aircraft noise from the large American airbases at RAF Mildenhall and RAF Lakenheath (based on 2015 data) (based on Military Aviation Noise Contour Report for RAF Lakenheath dated 24th February 2017) also...	To update the plan with the latest noise constraint map (attached). See signed Statement of Common Ground between Forest Heath District Council and the DIO (18.8.17).

Ref.	Policy / Paragraph Number	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change
		<p>....The map below indicates current noise contours (2015) for RAF Lakenheath (2017)</p> <p><i>Under the map include the following text:</i></p> <p>This plan is for illustrative purposes only. Planning applications and decisions must be informed by the contours as published on www.gov.uk</p>	For clarification.
AM16	Para 3.10	<p><i>Amend paragraph 3.10 as follows:</i></p> <p>3.10The draft Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) sets out the infrastructure and service requirements arising...</p>	To reflect that final IDP will be published alongside adopted SALP.
AM17	Para 4.3	<p><i>Amend paragraph 4.3 as follows:</i></p> <p>Responses to the Site Allocations Local Plan Preferred Options consultations 2016 Responses to the April to July 2016 consultations on the SALP were used to refine the site selections and inform the final version of the document. As stated in paragraph 1.6, a report on how the comments have helped inform this submission version of the SALP is available to view on the council's website.</p>	To ensure document reads as an adopted plan.
AM18	Para 4.4	<p><i>Amend paragraph 4.4 as follows:</i></p> <p>In addition to consultation responses, the planning history of the sites in this document have been were reviewed (some sites had received planning permission, or were the subject of a resolution to grant permission subject to completion of a Section 106 legal agreement), sites have been were visited, and local physical, environmental and capacity constraints have been re-assessed. We have been working worked closely with Suffolk</p>	To ensure document reads as an adopted plan.

Ref.	Policy / Paragraph Number	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change
		County Council looking at the transport network and highway capacity, and the educational needs and requirements generated by new development both as a result of recent planning permissions and planned growth.	
AM19	Para 4.5	<p><i>Amend paragraph 4.5 as follows:</i></p> <p>An draft Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) has been prepared to accompany the consultation stages of both accompanies the SIR and SALP. The IDP has evolved as more detail became available, and through maintaining a dialogue with infrastructure and service providers, and commissioning further studies where necessary. These proposed submission versions of the SIR and SALP documents are accompanied by the penultimate version of the IDP. This will be finalised following examination and adoption of the plans to provides a clear framework of infrastructure required to support development in each settlement.</p>	To reflect that final IDP will be published alongside adopted SALP.
AM20	Para 4.8	<p><i>Amend paragraph to reflect latest USAFE position as in core document D:29 and amend last sentence:</i></p> <p>...the fact that the USAF will not have left the site until 2023 2024 at the earliest after which...</p> <p>...However, the council is committed to reviewing this issue as part of a Joint West Suffolk Local Plan (Forest Heath District and St Edmundsbury Borough Councils) to be prepared from early in in 2018.</p>	Factual updates.
AM21	Para 4.9	<p><i>Delete para 4.9 and heading:</i></p> <p>Hatchfield Farm site, Newmarket</p>	Amendment to reflect post hearing additional allocation at Hatchfield Farm site.

Ref.	Policy / Paragraph Number	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change
		Following the Secretary of State's decision in August 2016 to refuse planning permission for 400 dwellings on a site at Hatchfield Farm to the north east of Newmarket, this site has not been included as a housing allocation in this Plan.	
AM22	Para 5.4.7	<p><i>Amend para 5.4.7 to read:</i></p> <p>The Core Strategy Single Issue Review distributes housing across the district. For Brandon, this means that a total of 71 33 additional dwellings would be provided are allocated in the town up to 2031.</p>	To reflect the updated housing position at 31 st March 2017 and to be consistent with modifications proposed to the SIR.
AM23	Note after Policy SA2: Housing allocations in Brandon	<p><i>Delete note that follows Policy SA2:</i></p> <p>Note: Planning permission was granted for 38 dwellings at Fengate Drove, Brandon in 2016 which contributes to the additional provision noted in the SIR. However, as development has commenced on the site it is not necessary to allocate this site (See Appendix 3).</p>	To reflect the updated housing position at 31 st March 2017. This site is now classed as a commitment and thereby does not contribute to the SIR additional provision. This note is therefore no longer needed.
AM24	Para 5.5.6 14 th bullet point	<p><i>Amend paragraph to read:</i></p> <p>there is uncertainty over the consequences of the withdrawal of the USVF from RAF Mildenhall post-2023 2024 and the future use of the site</p>	Factual correction to reflect most up to date information.
AM25	Para 5.5.11	<p><i>Amend paragraph 5.5.11 as follows:</i></p> <p>5.5.11 ...An draft Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) has been produced to support this document to set out how infrastructure requirements will be met.</p>	To reflect that final IDP will be published alongside adopted SALP.

Ref.	Policy / Paragraph Number	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change
AM26	Page 31, Para 5.5.21	<i>Amend last two lines of paragraph to read:</i> `...in accordance with the adopted concept statement development brief (June 2016).	To correct a factual error.
AM27	Para 5.5.22	<i>Amend to read:</i> This site comprises a parcel of.....Part of the site has recently acquired planning permission for 5 6 units (DC/15/0828/OUT DC/16/1109/FUL)	To reflect a new planning permission for the site which was granted consent 29 July 2016. This commitment is counted in the updated housing position at 31 st March 2017.
AM28	Para 5.6.8	<i>Insert new 11th bullet point:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Newmarket Surface Water Management Plan is developing proposals for reducing flood risk in the town. Development proposals should have regard to whether they impact on the emerging strategy. 	See Statement of Common Ground between Suffolk County Council and Forest Heath District Council.
AM29	Para 5.6.16	<i>Insert new 2nd bullet point, and amend 3rd and 4th bullet points:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • mitigation scheme(s) to improve traffic flow and safety at the A14/A142 junction; • mitigation schemes designed to lessen the impact of additional traffic on horse movements may be required depending on the location and scale of development (see SA6(b); • expansion/provision of additional pre-school settings and primary and secondary school places; 	Amended to re-balance the distribution between the towns and key service centres and to provide greater clarity.

Ref.	Policy / Paragraph Number	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change
AM30	Para 5.7.2, 4th bullet point	<i>Amend to read:</i> There are also many known archaeological sites within the town village and in its immediate hinterland...	Typographical error.
AM31	Para 5.7.2, 7 th bullet point	<i>Amend to read:</i> There are noise constraints to the south of within Lakenheath due to aircraft landing at and taking off from RAF Lakenheath.	To reflect the current situation.
AM32	Para 5.7.6	<i>Amend final sentence:</i> ...Should this position change during the plan period, it will be taken into account in the Forest Heath and St Edmundsbury West Suffolk Local Plan Review to commence early in 2018.	Amendment to reflect the updated timetable.
AM33	Para 5.7.7	<i>Amend para 5.7.7 as follows:</i> In the context of the above, six five sites have been identified as being suitable for allocation in Lakenheath to meet the housing needs set out in the Single Issue Review, as outlined in the text and policies below.	Amended to reflect the post – hearing proposed de-allocation of site SA8(d) in Policy SA8.
AM34	Para 5.7.12	<i>Amend para 5.7.12 to read as follows:</i> ...This is the least environmentally constrained part of the village and is well related to existing services and facilities in the village.	To reflect the current situation.


Ref.	Policy / Paragraph Number	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change
AM35	Para 5.7.13	<p><i>Amend para 5.7.13 to read as follows:</i></p> <p>The site lies to the north of Station Road and to the east of properties on Drift Road. There is an application for up to 81 dwellings on the site with a resolution to grant permission (June 2015 2017).</p>	Amendment to reflect the most recent resolution to grant on the site.
AM36	Para 5.7.14	<p><i>Amend para 5.7.14 to read as follows:</i></p> <p>...There is an application for 375 dwellings on the site (DC/14/2096/HYB) for a comprehensive scheme including a new primary school with a resolution to grant permission (August 2016 June 2017)</p>	Amendment to reflect the most recent resolution to grant on the site.
AM37	Para 5.8.2	<p><i>Add to 3rd bullet point:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the settlement has one primary school which is at or near capacity, and a second primary school (The Pines) due to open September 2018; 	Amended to reflect the most recent information.
AM38	Para 5.8.8	<p><i>Amend 1st bullet point:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a site is required for a new primary school (to include a pre-school setting); 	Amended to reflect the most recent information.
AM39	Para 5.8.18	<p><i>Amend para 5.8.18 to read as follows:</i></p> <p>There is a hybrid application which includes the demolition of Hundred Acre Farm and the construction of up to 268 dwellings, new public open space and other facilities, on land forming part of Phase 4a Kings Warren. This A full planning application has a resolution to approve subject to legal agreement</p>	<p>To reflect that F/2013/0257/HYB has been approved following completion of a legal agreement.</p> <p>To reflect the updated housing position at 31st March 2017. To include reference to the planning</p>

Ref.	Policy / Paragraph Number	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change
		(F/2013/0257/HYB). This is for Phase A: for the construction of 106 dwellings (including the relocation of 3 committed dwellings from phase 4a), new public open spaces, associated access and landscaping was approved on 10 June 2016 . The development proposals include mitigation located outside the Breckland Special Protection Area to account for historic records of stone curlew in the Nesting Attempts Constraint Zone. The site has been identified with capacity to accommodate an additional 8 units which are subject of a planning application reference DC/16/2833/FUL.	application for an additional 8 units on the site.
AM40	Para 5.9.2, 7 th bullet	<i>Amend para 5.9.2 to read:</i> there is uncertainty over the consequences of the withdrawal of the USVF from RAF Mildenhall post- 2023 2024 and the future use of the site	Factual correction to reflect most up to date information.
AM41	Para 5.11.2	<i>Amend fourth bullet to read:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the water recycling centre waste water treatment works 	To reflect factual error highlighted in Anglian Water representation 24900.
AM42	Para 5.12.2, 12 th bullet	<i>Reword to read:</i> uncertainty over the consequences of the withdrawal of the USVF from RAF Mildenhall post- 2020 2024 and the future use of the site	Factual correction to reflect most up to date information.
AM43	Para 6.14	<i>Amend paragraph 6.14 to read:</i> The site is 1.6ha in size and has strong strategic road access as it is located close to the junction of the A14 with the A142 (A14 Junction 37) adjacent to Newmarket Business Park. The site	Clarification of the planning policy position of site allocation SA17(b).


Ref.	Policy / Paragraph Number	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change
		<p>was included in an assessment of sites in the 2016 ELR. This is confirmed that this remains an appropriate location for new employment development (potentially as part of an extension of the existing Business Park), and since the ELR was published the site has received planning permission for B2 and B8 uses (application reference DC/16/0465/FUL). and the strong road links would facilitate logistics uses. The site has been cleared of any previous hardstanding although it is starting to become overgrown, and significant quantities of earth on the boundaries will need to be removed and landscaping of the site will be required.</p>	
AM44	Para 6.16	<p><i>Delete the following text:</i></p> <p>Following the Secretary of State's decision in August 2016 to refuse planning permission for 400 dwellings on a site at Hatchfield Farm to the north east of Newmarket, an identified employment area on this site has not been included in Policy SA17.</p>	To reflect the additional post hearings mixed use allocation at Newmarket.
AM45	Para 7.7	<p><i>Insert at the end of para 7.7:</i></p> <p>A mixed use scheme could be considered for site allocation SA18 (a) provided a convenience foodstore of at least 1,500 sqm net is incorporated within the scheme. The residual floorspace capacity will be directed to the town centre first in accordance with national and local policy.</p>	To reflect the principle of a mixed use scheme coming forward on the site, as proposed by rep number 24711 and 24694.
AM46	Appendix 2	<p><i>Delete Housing Trajectory graph and insert updated Housing Trajectory (updated Feb 2018)</i></p>	To reflect the updated housing position at 31 st March 2017 and correct typos and reflect post

Ref.	Policy / Paragraph Number	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change
			hearing additional allocations and deallocation of sites.
AM47	Appendix 3	<i>Delete table of SALP allocations/commitments and insert new table reflecting housing figures based on 31 March 2017</i>	Update table to reflect allocations and commitments at 31 March 2017 and reflect post hearing additional and deallocation of sites.

Additional Modification AM15



Appendix 2: Housing Trajectory (updated Feb 2018) (AM46)



	Annual requirement	Projected completions from commitments	Actual completions	SALP allocations	Windfall	Total completions	Annual requirement taking into account actual and projected completions
2011/12	340	0	332	0	0	332	340
2012/13	340	0	363	0	0	363	340
2013/14	340	0	246	0	0	246	339
2014/15	340	0	182	0	0	182	345
2015/16	340	0	188	0	0	188	355
2016/17	340	0	344	0	0	344	366
2017/18	340	409	0	0	0	409	368
2018/19	340	381	0	51	0	432	364
2019/20	340	364	0	309	0	673	359
2020/21	340	261	0	522	0	583	330
2021/22	340	108	0	519	0	627	285
2022/23	340	0	0	476	25	432	247
2023/24	340	0	0	427	25	387	215
2024/25	340	0	0	300	25	255	181
2025/26	340	0	0	220	25	245	157
2026/27	340	0	0	230	25	210	140
2027/28	340	0	0	205	25	210	111
2028/29	340	0	0	232	25	247	71
2029/30	340	0	0	230	25	245	-22
2030/31	340	0	0	147	25	222	-299

Appendix 3: Table of SALP allocations/commitments (AM47)

The table below shows how the SALP residential allocations, together with committed sites meet the SIR additional provision requirement.

	SIR additional provision (dwellings)	SALP allocations contributing to SIR additional provision (dwellings)	SALP allocated sites (dwellings)
Brandon	33	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SA2 (a) 23 SA2 (b) 10 Total = 33	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SA2 (a) 23 SA2 (b) 10 Total = 33
Mildenhall	1406	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SA4 (a) 1300 SA5 (a) 23 (part of site has pp for 6 units*) SA5 (b) 89 Total = 1406	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SA4 (a) 1300 SA5 (a) 23 (part of site has pp for 6 units*) SA5 (b) 89 Total = 1412
Newmarket	254 704	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SA6 (a) 87 SA6 (b) 50 SA6 (c) 117 SA6 (d) 50 SA6 (e) 21* SA6 (f) 46* SA6 (g) 400 Total = 254 704	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SA6 (a) 87 SA6 (b) 50 SA6 (b) no number indicated SA6 (c) 117 SA6 (d) 50 SA6 (e) 21* SA6 (f) 46* SA6 (g) 400 Total = 321 771
Lakenheath	828 663	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SA7 (b) 140 SA8 (a) 81 SA8 (b) 375 SA8 (c) 67 SA8 (d) 165 Total = 828 663	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SA7 (a) 13* SA7 (b) 140 SA8 (a) 81 SA8 (b) 375 SA8 (c) 67 SA8 (d) 165 Total = 841 676
Red Lodge	755 705	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SA9 (a) 132 SA9 (b) 140 SA9 (c) 382 (part of site has pp for 374*) SA9 (d) 125 SA10 (a) 350 300 Total = 755 705	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SA9 (a) 132 SA9 (b) 140 SA9 (c) 382 (part of site has pp for 374 units*) SA9 (d) 125 SA10 (a) 350 300 Total = 1129 1079
Primary Villages (Beck Row, Exning, Kentford and West Row)	357	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SA12(a) 205 SA13 (a) 34* SA13 (b) 63* SA14 (a) 152 Total = 357	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SA11 (a) 60 * SA11 (b) 117 * SA11 (c) 5* SA11 (d) 24* SA12(a) 205 SA13 (a) 34* SA13 (b) 63* SA14 (a) 152 Total = 660
Windfall	225	Not allocated in SALP	Not allocated in SALP
Total	3858 4093	3633 3868	4396 4631

*These sites all had planning permission at 31st March 2017. They do not contribute to SIR additional provision, as they are already counted as either existing commitments or completions, which are already taken into account in addressing the overall housing target, as set out in the SIR.


SALP Modifications to Policies Map proposed by the Council

The main modifications below are expressed either in the form of ~~striketrough~~ for deletions and **bold** for additions of text, or by specifying the modification in words.


Ref.	Policy / Paragraph Number	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change
AM48	Map 3 and 3.1 Brandon	Remove policy notations where they cross over into neighbouring districts' administrative areas.	Unnecessary to detail policies notations in neighbouring districts.
AM49	Map 3 Brandon	Amend boundary of site SA2(a) as shown on attached plan.	To reflect correct land ownership.
AM50	Map 11 Kentford	Remove policy notations where they cross over into neighbouring districts' administrative areas.	Unnecessary to detail policies notations in neighbouring districts.
AM51	Map 11 Kentford	Amendment to settlement boundary as shown on attached plan.	To better reflect the built form and planning application (F/2013/0061 HYB) boundary and maintain the strategic gap.
AM52	Map 9 Holywell Row	Amend settlement boundary of Holywell Row to include the land at Laurel Farm to reflect built development as shown on attached plan.	To reflect built development.
AM53	Map 12 Lakenheath	Delete allocation SA8(d) and amend settlement boundary accordingly. Amend boundary of site SA8(c) on the Lakenheath plan and site plan to reflect planning application as shown on attached plan.	To reflect deallocation of site proposed as a post hearing modification To reflect planning application DC/13/0660/FUL.
AM54	Map 13 and 13.1 Mildenhall	Amend boundary of site SA5(a) as shown on attached plan.	To reflect correct land ownership.
AM55	Map 13 Mildenhall	Amend boundary of site SA5(b) as shown on attached plan.	To reflect correct land ownership.

Ref.	Policy / Paragraph Number	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change
AM56	Map 15 Newmarket	Propose boundary for site SA6(g) Land at Hatchfield Farm as shown on attached plan and amendment to settlement boundary.	To designate site following post hearing allocation of additional site and consequential amendment to settlement boundary.
AM57	Map 16 Red Lodge	Amend boundary of site SA9(a) Land of Turnpike Road and Coopers Yard as shown on attached plan.	To reflect correct land ownership and availability.


Additional Modification AM49



Additional Modification AM51



Additional Modification AM52




Additional Modification AM53




Additional Modification AM54



Additional Modification AM55



Additional modification AM56



Additional Modification AM57

