## **Equality Screening Form**

	Question	Response
Q1)	Name of the strategy, policy, programme or project being assessed.	Forest Heath Proposed Submission Site Allocations Local Plan
Q2)	In no more than five lines and using Plain English, summarise the purpose of the policy or proposal, and its desired outcomes.	The Site Allocations Local Plan (SALP) is part of Forest Heath's Local Plan. It defines settlement boundaries, identifies land and sets out policies allocating sites in the towns, key service centres and primary villages for housing and growth, the expansion of Moulton Primary School, a food store in Newmarket and the production of town centre masterplans for Brandon, Mildenhall and Newmarket town centres.
Q3)	Who should benefit from the proposal and in what way?	The growth proposed will provide opportunities to meet the housing, including affordable housing, employment and retail needs of the district for the next fifteen years.
		Research referred to below demonstrates higher market rents in Forest Heath due to the increased demand from USAFE personnel and their families. The proposals for affordable housing will thus benefit those on low incomes.
		In addition, we anticipate that the potential to increase leisure facilities will improve the overall health and wellbeing of people who live in Forest Heath.
Q4	Is there any evidence or reason to believe that in relation to this proposal, there may be a difference in:	The formal consultation part of the planning process is mainly through written representations, but the council has also carried out public exhibitions and meetings at each stage of the process to increase levels of participation.
	<ul><li>Levels of participation</li><li>Uptake by different groups</li><li>Needs or experiences of different groups</li></ul>	

	_	rities er areas?	
Q5)	Using the e	evidence listed above, fill in the table ighlight the groups you think this policy I has the potential to impact upon:  Is there any potential for negative impact? Yes or No Are there opportunities for positive impact or to promote equality of opportunity?	The provision of new housing across the district gives rise to opportunities for positive impact to promote equality of opportunity. These are listed in the table below.  It is possible that at the level of individual new developments there will be externalities that may negatively impact on existing residents. However, these will be considered and dealt with through the development management process as individual applications come forward.
Q6)		g your answers to questions 1-5, do you ull Equality Impact Assessment is	No
Q7)	disadvanta	g our duty to proactively tackle ge and promote equality of opportunity, ions required.	N/A

Impacts Table					
	Is there potential for negative	Are there opportunities for positive impact? YES or NO	If YES, please provide details of the impact below		
	impact? YES or NO		Positive Impact	Negative Impact	
All groups or society generally	NO	YES	Sites allocated for housing development up to 2031 in the		

document will
provide for the
following number of
dwellings:
Newmarket – 321
Newmarket - 321
Mildenhall – 1412
Brandon – 33
Lakenheath – 828
Lakerineatri - 626
Red Lodge – 1129
Primary villages 660
The increase in
dwellings with
designated affordable
housing will
positively benefit
people who live in
Forest Heath.
Similarly to the
country as a whole,
Forest Heath's
housing supply does
not meet current
demand.

Age - Older or younger people	NO	YES	The council has allocated provision for 21 'dementia friendly' 2 bedroom apartments built to lifetime homes standard for those over the age of 55 associated with the race horsing industry. This specific site allocation will positively impact on older people by providing more options for suitable accommodation, something which is important due to Forest Heath's increasingly ageing population.  Furthermore, the 12 units of affordable housing in Beck Row and 10 affordable units in Newmarket will be beneficial for
-------------------------------	----	-----	---

			younger people who are struggling to afford their own accommodation.
<b>Disability -</b> People with a disability	NO	YES	The housing provided ensures that all residents will have homes suitable for their needs and facilities that are accessible for all.
Sex - Women or men	NO	YES	The document plans positively for the future and will benefit all sectors regardless of gender.
<b>Pregnancy or maternity</b> - including expectant or new parents i.e. pregnancy and maternity	NO	YES	The document plans positively for the future and will benefit all sectors regardless of pregnancy or maternity.
Marriage and civil partnership – including same sex couples	NO	YES	The document plans positively for the future and will

			benefit all sectors regardless of marital status.
Race - People who are black or from a minority ethnic background (BME)	NO	YES	The document plans positively for the future and will benefit all sectors regardless of race.  Research carried out by ORS showed that the majority of the existing traveller population residing in Forest Heath are settled and have stopped travelling in the terms included in the August 2015 definition. As a result, there is no identified additional need to provide for those falling within the definition in Forest Heath up to 2036. As a result, there are no site

			allocations for Roma Gypsies and Irish travellers.
<b>Religion -</b> People with a religion or belief (or who choose not to have a religion or belief)	NO	YES	The document plans positively for the future and will benefit all people with a religion or belief or those who choose not to have a religion or belief.
Sexual Orientation - People who are lesbian, gay or bisexual (LGB) or in a Civil Partnership	NO	YES	The document plans positively for the future and will benefit all sectors regardless of sexual orientation.
<b>Gender Reassignment</b> - People who are transitioning from one gender to another	NO	YES	The document plans positively for the future and will benefit all sectors regardless of gender reassignment.

Families and those with parenting or caring responsibilities (The Families Test)	NO	YES	The impacts on families and those with parenting or caring responsibilities are considered to be small and indirect, and it is not sensible or proportionate to apply the test.
Individuals on low income	NO	YES	The document plans for sites that, when developed, will provide a mix of house types, including a proportion of affordable housing to meet the needs of the council as identified in the SHMA.  Research suggests that Forest Heath displays high financial vulnerability mainly due to higher levels of fuel poverty

	and transport costs	
	due to mode of	
	transport, distances	
	travelled, fuel type	
	and housing <sup>1</sup> . This all	
	contributes to higher	
	levels of rural	
	deprivation than the	
	rest of the country.	
	rest of the country.	
	In addition, Forest	
	Heath experiences	
	exceptionally high	
	levels of market	
	rents due to the	
	demand from USAFE	
	personnel and their	
	families <sup>2</sup> Overall	
	affordability is also	
	slightly worse than	
	for England. The	
	provision of	
	affordable units will	
	thus positively	
	impact those on	
	lower incomes.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> http://www.healthysuffolk.org.uk/assets/JSNA/JSNA-Blog/Rural-Deprivation-in-Suffolk-May-2016.pdf
<sup>2</sup> Source: Forest Heath District Market Signals and Objectively Assessed Housing Need February 2016 (Peter Brett Associates)

Those suffering rural isolation	NO	YES	The document plans for housing and economic growth the impact of which may be of benefit to those suffering from rural isolation through enhancing the viability of existing services and facilities, and in some cases through provision of new/expanded services/facilities accessible to those living in the rural area.
Those who do not have English as a first language	NO	YES	The document plans positively for the future and will benefit all sectors regardless of language spoken.

	Action Plan						
Equality group/ characteristic	Action/milestone	Responsibility (Project manager or partner organisation)	Achievement date	Monitoring arrangements			

## Sign off section

This Screening Level EqIA was completed by:

Name Liz Barnard

**Job Title** Service Manager (Corporate Policy)

**Signature** EH Barnard (signed)

**Date** 21/03/2017

On completion, please submit this document with the policy or proposal. Guidance and advice on draft and final versions can be obtained from:

Tanya Sturman, Corporate Policy Team 01638 719473

tanya.sturman@westsuffolk.gov.uk