

Proposed Submission - Infrastructure Delivery Plan and PDF's to download
Public Participation Report

D22

draft Infrastructure Delivery Plan supporting the Proposed Submission SIR and SALP 2017

Representations

Nature

Summary of Main Issue/Change to Plan

Council's Assessment

Action

draft Infrastructure Delivery Plan supporting the Proposed Submission SIR and SALP 2017

draft Infrastructure Delivery Plan supporting the Proposed Submission SIR and SALP 2017

<i>Representations</i>	<i>Nature</i>	<i>Summary of Main Issue/Change to Plan</i>	<i>Council's Assessment</i>	<i>Action</i>
24635 - Herringswell Parish Council (Mrs Liz Marchington) [5853]	Comment	<p>Sewerage issues have been a constant thorn in the side of residents in Herringswell and Red Lodge for many years. The issues for residents has been ignored until recent times by Anglian Water (AW) and the Council. A paper was commissioned at great expense by the council, but only looked at capacity issues of the system at the terminal pumping station in Tuddenham. The comments and concerns of residents and their reports of odours and leakages were ignored and didn't form any part of the report's conclusions.</p> <p>We are concerned that AW are only considering the capacity of the pumping station and are not addressing the way the waste reaches the final destination at Tuddenham. The pipework has been put under immense pressure and have been known to fracture on several occasions. The pump had to be replaced in Herringswell as recently as February 2017. The system is ancient, unreliable and causes major odour issues for residents in Herringswell. When it was commissioned, it was never envisaged it would be required to remove the volume of waste it now does.</p> <p>We have been informed by AW that the dosing system used to eliminate the odours, is running at maximum capacity and still residents experience offensive odours.</p> <p>Page 49 of the draft infrastructure delivery plan tp 2031, implies they are planning to remove the waste from any future development via the Kings Warren Pumping station and that as a result, the historic issues will be addressed and eliminated. We wish to point out that this option has been in place for many years and so any problems already in the system, will not improve as this already has been done.</p> <p><i>No further development at Red lodge until AW can prove the system is functioning reliably and that the real issues as experienced by those residents in Herringswell are addressed and permanently overcome.</i></p> <p><i>Or re-route the waste via Mildenhall</i></p>	<p>Comments and objection noted.</p> <p>The IDP is an evolving and iterative document, and this version has been informed by continuing dialogue with infrastructure and service providers and the study updates commissioned in 2015 and 2016. It indicates that at the strategic and local level infrastructure and services can be maintained/ provided at the appropriate level for the distribution of housing growth proposed in the SIR and SALP.</p>	<p>No action required at this time</p> <p>Work will continue with infrastructure and service providers, and with neighbouring authorities and statutory bodies to produce a final version of the IDP following examination and adoption of the SIR and SALP.</p>

<i>Representations</i>	<i>Nature</i>	<i>Summary of Main Issue/Change to Plan</i>	<i>Council's Assessment</i>	<i>Action</i>
24641 - Anglian Water (Ms Hannah Wilson) [13062]	Comment	<p>It would be useful to include in the table, under the Water and Drainage sections the following point:</p> <p>Many of the sites would likely require some upgrades to the sewerage network in order to accommodate the increased flows. Developers are encouraged to contact Anglian Water for pre-application advice.</p> <p>The above point is noted in the WCS paragraph 7.1.</p> <p><i>It would be useful to include in the table, under the Water and Drainage sections the following point:</i></p> <p><i>Many of the sites would likely require some upgrades to the sewerage network in order to accommodate the increased flows. Developers are encouraged to contact Anglian Water for pre-application advice.</i></p>	Comment and proposed change noted.	<p>No action required at this time.</p> <p>Work will continue with infrastructure and service providers, and with neighbouring authorities and statutory bodies to produce a final version of the IDP following examination and adoption of the SIR and SALP.</p>
24892 - Natural England (Cheshire) (Ms Francesca Shapland) [12637]	Comment	<p>Natural England is a non-departmental public body. Our statutory purpose is to ensure that the natural environment is conserved, enhanced, and managed for the benefit of present and future generations, thereby contributing to sustainable development. Natural England is satisfied that all issues relating to specific infrastructure requirements per settlement have been explained in sufficient detail and furthermore that all relevant infrastructure requirements have been identified. Therefore Natural England has no further comments to make on the current submission.</p> <p>We would be happy to comment further should the need arise but if in the meantime you have any queries please do not hesitate to contact us.</p>	comments noted	no action required

<i>Representations</i>	<i>Nature</i>	<i>Summary of Main Issue/Change to Plan</i>	<i>Council's Assessment</i>	<i>Action</i>
24859 - Newmarket Horsemen's Group (NHG) [11392]	Object	<p>Inadequate evidence to understand the impacts of increased development in Newmarket on transport infrastructure and the potential impact on the horse racing industry. The requirement for further assessments is acknowledged in the IDP. This information should be in place now to inform the plan-making process so that the relevant mitigation measures can be identified and planned for.</p> <p><i>Completion of the further assessments referred to and clarification of the impacts on horse movements around the town. A review of the impact of the policies on non-car modes of transport and the mitigation measures required. In all cases the IDP should identify the measures required to address any impacts, the deliverability of these measures and the source of funding for these.</i></p>	<p>The council is satisfied that the AECOM study and advice from Suffolk County Council is robust and sufficient for site allocations in Newmarket, and that there is no need for new traffic studies.</p> <p>Policies are in place to require development proposals to provide appropriate mitigation measures through the planning application process (e.g. Core Strategy Policy CS13 and Joint Development Management Policies). Such measures will be secured through planning conditions, S106 and S278 agreements.</p>	no action required
24772 - Elveden Farms Ltd. [13111]	Object	<p>The draft Infrastructure Plan seeks to give weight to the Aecom Cumulative Traffic Impact study 2016 which we consider to be defective, as the reference to it renders this element of the local plan unsound.</p> <p><i>It is recommended that new comprehensive and representative traffic count data is collected for the B1112/Eriswell Junction and the junction assessed with revised linsig modelling to determine if the proposed junction restricted to land within the Highway Boundary has sufficient capacity to accommodate traffic arising from new development in Lakenheath before any decision is made on which traffic signal scheme is implemented.</i></p>	<p>Comment and recommendation noted.</p> <p>The council is satisfied that the AECOM study and advice from Suffolk County Council is robust and sufficient for site allocations in Lakenheath, and that there is no need for new traffic studies.</p>	no action required

<i>Representations</i>	<i>Nature</i>	<i>Summary of Main Issue/Change to Plan</i>	<i>Council's Assessment</i>	<i>Action</i>
24676 - Lakenheath Parish Council (Ms C Shimmon) [12422]	Object	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * No mention on traffic congestion despite Aecom report * Insufficient water pressure and drainage within the Village * Education compromised with potential siting of new school * Insufficient healthcare provision particularly with Hockwold now closed and extra pressure on the GP Practice * Insufficient mitigation to prevent further deterioration of the SSSI site in the future. * Improve transport links. * Redefine the KSC designation <p><i>The November AECOM technical note is flawed. A correct cumulative traffic assessment with robust mitigation measures which will affect all of the proposed developments within Lakenheath. Page 39 refers.</i></p> <p><i>Details of public transport provision for the future including potential for railway provision at Lakenheath Station. With loss of their car park bus connections perhaps with the Mildenhall Hub. That could provide direct access to Norwich, Cambridge and Ely.</i></p> <p><i>Increased healthcare provision including the services of a dentist.</i></p>	<p>Comments and proposed changes noted.</p> <p>The IDP is an evolving and iterative document, and this version has been informed by continuing dialogue with infrastructure and service providers and the study updates commissioned in 2015 and 2016. It indicates that at the strategic and local level infrastructure and services can be maintained/ provided at the appropriate level for the distribution of housing growth proposed in the SIR and SALP.</p>	<p>No action required at this time.</p> <p>Work will continue with infrastructure and service providers, and with neighbouring authorities and statutory bodies to produce a final version of the IDP following examination and adoption of the SIR and SALP.</p>

<i>Representations</i>	<i>Nature</i>	<i>Summary of Main Issue/Change to Plan</i>	<i>Council's Assessment</i>	<i>Action</i>
24810 - Newmarket Town Council (Mr John Morrey) [12910]	Object	<p>Newmarket Town Council is concerned that traffic levels around the town is not being adequately assessed in particular the A142 and consequently the impacts of increased development on the transport infrastructure is not fully understood.</p> <p>NTC notes that the document acknowledges on page 7 that several key junctions across the district will come under pressure with increased traffic congestion and that one of these junctions is junction 37 of the A14 and the A142. The document states further assessment work is required at junction 37 and other locations in Newmarket and hence this statement highlights that until this work is undertaken the proposed site allocations within the town cannot be fully assessed and development implications understood. This further assessment work should be undertaken as a matter of priority.</p> <p>Furthermore, Newmarket is a unique town to the horse racing industry and hence any assessments undertaken must also take account of the impact on the industry</p> <p><i>The further assessments to be undertaken as a matter of priority and the impact of further developments on the town.</i></p>	<p>Comments and recommendation noted.</p> <p>The council is satisfied that the AECOM study and advice from Suffolk County Council is robust and sufficient for site allocations in Newmarket, and that there is no need for new traffic studies.</p> <p>Policies are in place to require development proposals to provide appropriate mitigation measures through the planning application process (e.g. Core Strategy Policy CS13 and Joint Development Management Policies). Such measures will be secured through planning conditions, S106 and S278 agreements</p>	no action required
24743 - Persimmon Homes Ltd (Miss Sophie Waggett) [12423]	Support	Please see the attached.	comments noted	no action required
24619 - Norfolk County Council (Ms Laura Waters) [11365]	Support	Norfolk Council will expect to work closely with adjoining authorities on the delivery of major infrastructure with particular focus at Brandon.	comments noted	no action required
24858 - Suffolk County Council (Mr Robert Feakes) [6500]	Support	See attached letter on Education, Fire and Rescue, Libraries, Transport, Surface Water Management and Waste.	Noted.	<p>No action required at this time.</p> <p>Work will continue with infrastructure and service providers, and with neighbouring authorities and statutory bodies to produce a final version of the IDP following examination and adoption of the SIR and SALP.</p>